Information Dissemination Models and Public Cognition Interaction Mechanisms in the New Media Environment

Feng Gao
Guangzhou Youhaoxi Network Science and Technology Co., Ltd. Guangzhou, Guangdong, China

Abstract: With the development of the new media environment, the interaction between information dissemination and public cognition has become increasingly complex. The rise of digital platforms and social media has provided unprecedented speed and reach for information spread, but it also presents challenges such as information overload, misinformation, cognitive biases, and echo chambers. This paper explores the theoretical foundations of information dissemination in the new media environment and its impact on public cognition, analyzing information dissemination models such as the virality model, the algorithmic model, the network propagation model, and hybrid models. Additionally, the paper discusses ethical issues in the dissemination process, such as algorithmic bias and emotional manipulation on social media. By examining these issues, the paper aims to provide guidance for future research directions and explore ways to construct a more transparent and accountable information dissemination system in the digital age, enhancing public media literacy and reducing the impact of misinformation.

Keywords: Information Dissemination; Public Cognition; New Media; Algorithmic Bias

1. Introduction

1.1 Research Background

In the digital age, the rapid evolution of new media has fundamentally transformed the way information is disseminated and perceived by the public. Unlike traditional media, where information flows through centralized and authoritative sources, new media platforms enable decentralized, user-driven content dissemination. Features such as real-time interactivity, algorithmic personalization, and participatory engagement have reshaped public access to information. As a result, public cognition is increasingly influenced by factors such as information overload, echo chambers, and algorithmic curation, leading to shifts in how individuals process and respond to information in the digital space [1].

1.2 Research Significance:

The transformation of information dissemination in the digital environment has profound implications for public perception. Unlike traditional media, new media platforms leverage interactivity, personalization, and algorithmic recommendations, reshaping how individuals access and process information. This study is significant for several reasons:

(1) Academic Contribution: It refines traditional communication theories by integrating modern dissemination models, such as algorithm-driven content distribution and network propagation effects. Additionally, it explores how digital media influences cognitive biases and public opinion formation.

(2) Social and Policy Relevance: Understanding how digital information ecosystems function can help address misinformation, echo chambers, and public opinion polarization. Insights from this study may support policymaking in areas such as content regulation and digital literacy.

(3) Practical Applications: Media platforms, tech companies, and marketers can benefit from a deeper understanding of information dissemination mechanisms. This knowledge can aid in improving content recommendation algorithms, designing effective communication strategies, and fostering a more informed public.

By analyzing these aspects, this research contributes to both theoretical understanding and practical solutions in the evolving landscape of digital information flow.

2.1 Evolution of Information Dissemination Models: From Traditional to Digital Platforms

The way information is disseminated has

evolved significantly with the advent of digital platforms. Traditional media followed a hierarchical and centralized approach, where information was controlled by a few authoritative sources. In contrast, digital media has introduced a decentralized, interactive, and algorithm-driven model that reshapes how information spreads. This section explores the transition from traditional dissemination models to digital platforms, highlighting key characteristics and their impact on public communication.

2.1.1 Traditional information dissemination models

Traditional media, including newspapers, radio, and television, operated under a one-to-many communication model. This model was characterized by centralized control, where media organizations and government agencies acted as gatekeepers, filtering and shaping the information before distributing it to the public. Several key models illustrate this approach:

(1) Linear Communication Model: Communication is a linear process, where a sender transmits a message through a channel to a receiver. Noise may interfere, but the process remains structured and controlled. This model applied well to traditional mass media, where information flowed in a top-down manner.

(2) Agenda-Setting Theory: The media influences public perception by determining which issues receive attention. In traditional media, editorial decisions played a crucial role in shaping public discourse.

(3) Two-Step Flow Model: The idea that information flows first from media to opinion leaders, who then influence the wider public. This model emphasized the role of intermediaries in shaping information interpretation.

These traditional models ensured stability in information dissemination but were limited in interactivity, personalization, and speed. The emergence of digital platforms disrupted this landscape, giving rise to new models of dissemination.

2.1.2 Transition to digital platforms

The digital revolution, driven by the internet and social media, transformed information dissemination in several key ways:

(1) Decentralization: Unlike traditional media, where content was curated by a few entities, digital platforms enable anyone to create and distribute information. Social media, blogs, and video-sharing platforms empower users to bypass traditional gatekeepers.

(2) Interactivity and User Participation: Digital media fosters two-way communication. Users are no longer passive consumers but active participants, engaging with content through likes, comments, and shares. This dynamic alters how information is consumed and disseminated.

(3) Algorithmic Curation: Platforms like Facebook, Twitter, and TikTok use machine learning algorithms to personalize content, shaping what users see based on their past behaviors. This shift moves dissemination away from editorial decisions and toward data-driven automation.

4.Virality and Network Propagation: Unlike traditional media, where information spread through scheduled broadcasts or print cycles, digital media operates in real-time. Virality, where content spreads rapidly through user sharing, has become a defining feature of modern dissemination.

Several modern dissemination models reflect these changes:

(1) Network propagation model: Digital platforms rely on complex network structures where information spreads through social connections rather than through centralized authorities. Weak ties between individuals can facilitate the rapid diffusion of information.

(2) Algorithmic dissemination model: Recommendation engines on platforms like YouTube and Instagram prioritize content based on engagement metrics, shaping information visibility and reach. This process creates echo chambers, reinforcing certain narratives while filtering out others.

(3) Participatory media model: Users contribute to content creation and distribution, blurring the lines between producers and consumers. Crowdsourced journalism, influencer-driven content, and user-generated reviews exemplify this model.

As these transformations unfold, it is important to consider the key features of digital platform transition, which are summarized in the table 1 below.

Table 1. Key Features of Digital Platform Transition

Aspect	Description
Advantages of Decentralization	Increases diversity and inclusivity of information, reduces editorial bias of traditional media, giving more voices a chance to be heard.

Impact of User Participation	Changes the speed and scope of information dissemination, transforming users into both consumers and spreaders, thus promoting immediacy and broader reach.
Challenges of Algorithmic Curation	May lead to filter bubbles and echo chambers, where users are exposed only to content that reinforces their beliefs, limiting exposure to diverse perspectives.
Mechanism of Virality	Information can spread rapidly through social networks and sharing behavior, often influenced by the emotional impact or relevance of the event.
Features of Network Propagation Model	Relies on social connections for information spread, where both strong and weak ties can significantly influence the diffusion process.
Trend of Participatory Media	Users are not only content consumers but also creators and commentators, making content production less professionalized and increasing user engagement with information.

2.1.3 Implications of the Shift

The transition from traditional to digital dissemination has brought both opportunities and challenges:

Increased Access and Speed: Information is more accessible than ever, enabling real-time communication and global reach.

Misinformation and Disinformation Risks: The lack of gatekeeping allows false information to spread unchecked, affecting public perception and decision-making.

Fragmentation of Public Discourse: Algorithmic filtering and personalized feeds can create information bubbles, reducing exposure to diverse perspectives.

2.2 Key Features of New Media Dissemination: Interactivity, Personalization, Algorithmic Influence

New media dissemination differs significantly from traditional models due to its dynamic, user-driven nature. Three key features-interactivity, personalization, and algorithmic influence-define how information is distributed and consumed in the digital environment.

Interactivity: Unlike traditional media's one-way communication, new media enables real-time interaction between users and content creators. Features such as comments, likes, and shares allow users to actively engage with information, shaping its visibility and impact. This participatory nature enhances information exchange but also accelerates the spread of both accurate and misleading content.

Personalization: Digital platforms curate content based on user preferences, browsing history, and engagement patterns. Personalized feeds, such as those on social media and news apps, ensure that users receive content aligned with their interests. While this enhances user experience, it can also lead to filter bubbles, limiting exposure to diverse viewpoints [2].

Algorithmic Influence: AI-driven recommendation systems play a central role in content distribution. Algorithms prioritize engagement, amplifying trending topics and viral content. While this increases efficiency, it can also reinforce echo chambers and contribute to misinformation.

2.3 The Role of Social Media, AI, and Big Data in Information Flow

Social media, AI, and big data have revolutionized information flow in the digital age, transforming how content is created, shared, and consumed. Social media platforms like Facebook, Twitter, and Instagram serve as primary channels for information dissemination, enabling users to share and engage with content instantly. These platforms foster real-time conversations and viral trends, making them powerful tools for shaping public discourse.

Artificial Intelligence (AI) plays a crucial role in managing and optimizing content distribution. Algorithms track user behavior and preferences to personalize content, ensuring that individuals are exposed to information that aligns with their interests. While this enhances user experience, it can also create filter bubbles, reinforcing existing beliefs and limiting exposure to diverse perspectives. AI-driven recommendation systems on platforms like YouTube and TikTok determine the visibility of content, amplifying trends and sometimes promoting sensational or misleading information.

Big Data further enhances the flow of information by enabling more accurate predictions and targeted messaging. By analyzing vast amounts of user data, companies can deliver content tailored to specific demographics, improving engagement but raising concerns about privacy and manipulation. Together, social media, AI, and big data have created an ecosystem where information flows rapidly and dynamically, influencing public perception and behavior in unprecedented ways.

As shown in Table 2, each of these technologies plays a distinct role in shaping how information is disseminated and consumed, with both positive and negative consequences for public perception and behavior.

Table 2. The Role and Impact of Social Media, AI, and Big Data in Information Flow

Technology	Role in Information Flow	Impact
Social Media	Acts as a platform for real-time information sharing, enabling global communication and engagement.	Facilitates rapid dissemination of information, but also fosters echo chambers and viral misinformation.
Artificial Intelligence (AI)	Personalizes content and manages recommendation systems based on user behavior and preferences.	Enhances user experience but can reinforce filter bubbles and limit exposure to diverse viewpoints.
Big Data	Analyzes large volumes of data to predict user behavior and deliver targeted content.	Improves content targeting and engagement, but raises concerns over privacy, data manipulation, and bias.

3. Public Cognition and Its Interaction with Information Dissemination

3.1 The Cognitive Process of Information Reception and Interpretation

The process of receiving and interpreting information is a complex cognitive activity that involves several stages, from sensory perception to the formation of beliefs and opinions. In the context of new media, this process is influenced not only by the content of the information itself but also by the medium through which it is delivered, the individual's prior knowledge, and the social context in which the information is consumed. Understanding how information is processed by the human mind helps us comprehend how public cognition interacts with information dissemination, especially in the digital age.

3.1.1. Sensory reception and attention

The cognitive process begins with sensory reception, where individuals are exposed to stimuli in the form of digital content, such as text, images, or videos. Attention plays a key role at this stage; people are selective about what they focus on, often guided by their interests, emotions, or the salience of the content. With the overwhelming amount of information available on digital platforms, attention is a limited resource [3]. Algorithms used by social media platforms contribute to this selective attention by curating content based on user preferences and behavior. This ensures that users are presented with information that aligns with their interests, further narrowing their attention to a limited set of perspectives.

3.1.2. Cognitive processing and interpretation

Once information is attended to, the next stage is cognitive processing, where individuals make sense of the information. This stage involves memory, prior knowledge, and mental schemas that help interpret new content. According to the dual-process theory (Kahneman, 2011), there are two main modes of cognitive processing: System 1 (fast, automatic, intuitive) and System 2 (slow, deliberate, analytical). In the digital environment, most information consumption happens through System 1, as users quickly scroll through feeds, absorb content passively, and make snap judgments. This reliance on quick, intuitive thinking can lead to the reinforcement of stereotypes, biases, and simplistic interpretations of complex issues.

Framing plays a significant role at this stage of interpretation. The way information is framed-whether positively or negatively-can shape the way it is understood. For example, a news story about a political event may be framed as either a "crisis" or "opportunity," influencing how the audience perceives the situation. Social media platforms often promote content that is framed in a sensationalist manner, which may trigger emotional reactions, further influencing how information is interpreted.

3.1.3. Influence of emotions and cognitive biases

Emotions play an important role in shaping how information is interpreted. Emotional responses to content, such as fear, anger, or happiness, can bias cognitive processing, leading to more polarized opinions. For instance, individuals may interpret information that confirms their existing beliefs more favorably, a phenomenon known as confirmation bias. On social media, this is amplified by algorithms that prioritize emotionally engaging content, creating echo chambers where users are exposed primarily to viewpoints that align with their own.

Additionally, cognitive biases such as availability bias (relying on readily available

information) or bandwagon effect (conforming to popular opinions) also shape how individuals process information. These biases, combined with the interactivity and personalization features of new media, result in selective exposure to information, which can influence public cognition in profound ways.

3.1.4. Opinion formation and belief system

After processing and interpreting information, individuals form opinions and beliefs based on their interpretation of the content. This final stage of the cognitive process is influenced by both personal and social factors. Online communities, peer networks, and influencers can significantly impact opinion formation, as individuals are exposed to a wide array of opinions that might confirm or challenge their own. In the digital era, the interaction between information dissemination and public cognition creates a feedback loop where opinions are continually shaped and reshaped by the flow of content on social media platforms.

The cognitive process of information reception and interpretation in new media environments is thus multifaceted, shaped by both internal cognitive mechanisms and external technological influences. Understanding these processes is crucial for analyzing how information flows through digital platforms and the resulting impact on public cognition. The table 3 below provides a comparison of key factors influencing opinion formation in the digital era:

Table 3. Comparison of Opinion Formation and Psychological Factors in Digital Platforms

Factor	Description	Impact on Opinion Formation
Information Flow in Digital Platforms	The process by which information spreads and is consumed on digital platforms.	Information is shaped and reshaped in real-time, creating a feedback loop where individuals are constantly exposed to new opinions and content, often influencing their own views.
Online Communities & Peer Networks	Groups of people interacting and sharing information through social media or other online platforms.	These communities provide individuals with various opinions, sometimes reinforcing existing beliefs, or presenting opposing views that challenge their perceptions.
Influencers	Individuals with large followings who can sway the opinions of others through their content and personal brand.	Influencers play a crucial role in shaping opinions, often reinforcing trends, attitudes, and opinions that followers adopt.
Confirmation Bias	The tendency to search for, interpret, and recall information that confirms one's pre-existing beliefs.	In digital spaces, individuals often engage more with content that aligns with their views, deepening divisions and reducing exposure to contradictory opinions.
Availability Bias	Relying on readily available information, often from recent or emotionally charged sources, when forming opinions.	Sensational or viral content can disproportionately influence public perception, often leading individuals to overvalue recent or dramatic events.
Selective Exposure	The tendency of individuals to seek out content that supports their beliefs while avoiding contradictory information.	Algorithms on digital platforms create a personalized environment, making it easier for users to remain in echo chambers, reinforcing existing opinions and limiting diverse viewpoints.
Echo Chambers	Virtual spaces where individuals are exposed primarily to information and opinions that reinforce their own views.	These spaces further entrench beliefs, making it harder for individuals to critically assess new information or change their views.

3.3 The Feedback Loop: How Public Engagement Reshapes Information Dissemination

The interaction between public engagement and information dissemination forms a continuous feedback loop, where the ways in which individuals engage with content directly influence how information is shared and disseminated. On digital platforms, this feedback loop is accelerated by the interactivity of social media, where users not only consume but also

actively contribute to the spread of information through likes, shares, comments, and other forms of engagement. Content that generates high levels of engagement-whether through emotional reactions, controversy, or relatability-tends to be prioritized by algorithms, increasing its visibility and reach.

As content spreads, it reaches a wider audience, who engage with it in turn, further amplifying its dissemination. This engagement often reshapes the content, as users comment, reinterpret, and reframe information in ways that align with their beliefs, creating new layers of meaning. The viral nature of information on platforms like Twitter and Facebook reinforces this loop, with each cycle of engagement leading to greater visibility and dissemination. However, this feedback loop can also have negative effects, such as the spread of misinformation, as emotionally charged or sensational content often generates more engagement, pushing it further into the public consciousness and reshaping how it is perceived [6].

4. Information Dissemination Models in the New Media Environment

In the new media environment, the flow of information is governed by various models that operate in concert to drive the rapid spread and reach of content. One of the key models is the virality model, which emphasizes how information spreads quickly and widely through digital platforms. Factors that contribute to the virality of content include its emotional appeal, relatability, and shareability. Content that evokes strong emotions, whether humor, shock, or outrage, is more likely to be shared, leading to a viral cascade. The rapid sharing of information through social media networks creates a feedback loop, where the more people engage with a piece of content, the more it is likely to spread, further amplifying its reach. This model is often seen in the context of viral videos, memes, and breaking news stories that rapidly gain traction across platforms.

Another significant model is the algorithmic model, where platform-driven algorithms dictate how content is distributed to users. Social media platforms like Facebook, Instagram, and YouTube use algorithms that prioritize content based on user engagement, preferences, and behaviors. These algorithms determine what users see in their feeds and which posts are more likely to be shared, driving the visibility of certain content over others. This model allows platforms to tailor content to individual interests, but it also raises concerns about filter bubbles, where users are exposed primarily to content that aligns with their existing beliefs, potentially limiting their exposure to diverse perspectives.

The network propagation model focuses on the influence of digital communities and opinion leaders in spreading information. In this model, digital communities-whether based on shared interests, ideologies, or social networks-play a critical role in shaping how information is disseminated. Opinion leaders, individuals who are highly influential within these communities, act as key nodes in the network. They help guide the direction of information flow by endorsing, reshaping, or amplifying specific content. These leaders can be celebrities, influencers, or domain experts, whose opinions can sway the perceptions of large groups. Their influence can help certain messages gain widespread acceptance, while also shaping public discourse within their networks [7].

Finally, hybrid models combine multiple dissemination mechanisms to reflect the complex nature of information flow in the digital age. These models integrate elements of virality, algorithms, and network propagation to create a more dynamic and multifaceted approach to content distribution. For instance, a piece of content may initially spread through viral sharing, be further amplified by an algorithmic recommendation system, and then be discussed and reshaped by opinion leaders within digital communities. This integrated approach highlights how new media platforms function as complex ecosystems where multiple factors intersect to influence the spread of information. Hybrid models are particularly relevant in understanding the nuances of modern information dissemination, where various mechanisms work in tandem to shape how content is consumed and shared across digital spaces [8].

5. Challenges and Ethical Considerations

In the new media environment, there are several challenges and ethical concerns related to how information is disseminated. One major issue is information overload, which occurs when individuals are exposed to an overwhelming amount of content, making it difficult to process and evaluate information effectively. The sheer volume of information available on digital

platforms can lead to cognitive fatigue, where people become desensitized to new information and may struggle to discern reliable content from less credible sources. Alongside information overload, there is a significant risk of misinformation, which refers to the spread of false or misleading content, whether intentionally or unintentionally. Misinformation can easily spread through social media, especially when sensational or emotionally charged content goes viral. The rapid dissemination of false information can have serious consequences, such as influencing public opinion on critical issues, spreading rumors, and even undermining trust in institutions.

Another pressing challenge is the issue of algorithmic bias and the creation of echo chambers. Algorithms that power social media platforms are designed to prioritize content based on user engagement, but these algorithms can also perpetuate biases by reinforcing existing beliefs and preferences. This occurs when algorithms favor content that aligns with a user's previous interactions, creating a filter bubble that limits exposure to diverse perspectives. As a result, individuals are more likely to encounter information that supports their viewpoints, while being isolated from contradictory or challenging content. This can deepen societal divisions, as users become more entrenched in their beliefs and less willing to engage with opposing views. Moreover, the lack of transparency in algorithmic decision-making raises ethical concerns, particularly regarding how data is used to influence public opinion and shape individuals' worldviews. These challenges highlight the need for more ethical approaches to information dissemination in the digital age, addressing issues of fairness, accountability, and transparency.

6. Conclusion and Future Research Directions

In conclusion, the interaction between information dissemination and public cognition in the new media environment presents both opportunities and challenges. The rise of digital platforms, social media, and algorithmic content distribution has transformed how information flows and how individuals engage with it. While the rapid spread of information offers unprecedented access to knowledge and fosters global communication, it also raises concerns related to misinformation, cognitive biases, and the creation of echo chambers. These factors complicate the public's ability to critically assess information, influencing opinions, behaviors, and societal norms.

Future research should focus on addressing these challenges by exploring methods to improve the transparency and accountability of algorithms. Understanding how algorithmic biases shape content distribution can help mitigate the risks of filter bubbles and echo chambers. Moreover, examining the role of emotional engagement in viral content dissemination is crucial for better managing misinformation. Researchers should also explore strategies for improving public media literacy, empowering individuals to better navigate and critically engage with the vast amounts of information available in the digital age.

Additionally, investigating the long-term effects of digital media on public cognition and social behavior is an important avenue for future research. As digital platforms continue to evolve, the impact on how individuals form beliefs, interact with others, and participate in public discourse will likely become even more significant. A comprehensive understanding of these dynamics is essential for developing more ethical and effective models of information dissemination that promote diversity, accuracy, and critical thinking.

References

[1] Gang Ren, Li Jiang, Tingting Huang, Ying Yang & Taeho Hong. (2025). Temporal-spatial hierarchical contrastive learning for misinformation detection: A public-behavior perspective. Information Processing and Management (4), 104108-104108.

[2] Yoori Hwang & Se Hoon Jeong. (2025). Generative Artificial Intelligence and Misinformation Acceptance: An Experimental Test of the Effect of Forewarning About Artificial Intelligence Hallucination. Cyberpsychology, behavior and social networking.

[3] Yuxuan Du & Jing Lu. (2025). The auto-correlation enhanced transformer for information diffusion prediction in social networks. Evolving Systems (2), 44-44.

[4] Tiancheng Zhou, Yu Huang, Raghavendhran Avanasi, Richard A Brain, Mattia Prosperi & Jiang Bian. (2025). Content Hubs, Information Flows, And Reactions for Pesticide-Related Discussions On Twitter.

Integrated environmental assessment and management.

[5] Kaske Kacharo Deribe, Ganewo Galato Zerhun, Alemu Shakana Abera, Seyoum Haile Atsede & Kassahun Gebeyehu Teshome. (2023). Climate information: Does dissemination channels matter? Analysis of the coffee agroforestry system in the Sidama Region of Ethiopia. Cogent Food & Agriculture (2).

[6] Pandey Rashmikiran, Pandey Mrinal & Nazarov Alexey Nikolaevich. (2023). Modelling information warfare dynamics to counter propaganda using a nonlinear differential equation with a PINN-based learning approach. International Journal of Information Technology (3), 1527-1538.

[7] Terry Kirsty, Yang Fei, Yao Qiang & Liu Chaojie. (2023). The role of social media in public health crises caused by infectious disease: a scoping review. BMJ global health (12).

[8] Fulian Yin, Yuwei She, Qianyi Yang, Hongyu Pang, Yanjing Huang & Jianhong Wu. (2023). Modeling and analyzing dynamic information propagation on Sina Weibo in a semi-directed network. Journal of Biological Systems (01).

