Development and Evaluation of Personalized Exercise Prescriptions in Physical Health Promotion: An Exploratory Study

Jiao Jiao
Shanghai Polytechnic University, Shanghai, China

Abstract:This study explores development trajectory of personalized exercise prescription in context of physical health promotion, particularly emphasizing its theoretical foundation, basic components and standardized procedural framework, and additionally, research is committed to constructing a multi-dimensional, dynamic effect evaluation system, which moreover indicates that integrating physiological, psychological and behavioral data to guide precise prescription design, coupled with implementing closed-loop assessment-feedback-optimization management mechanism, can significantly enhance safety, effectiveness and sustainability of exercise intervention, with this approach representing a critical strategy for transforming transient behavioral changes into lasting health benefits.

Keywords: Personalized Exercise Prescription; Health Promotion; Efficacy Evaluation; Closed-Loop Management

1. Introduction

Given that overall framework of 'Healthy China' initiative integrates sports with medicine, the key role played by exercise as core method of health promotion may indicate increasingly important theoretical significance[1], and moreover, traditional standardized exercise programs may not be able to adapt to differences between individuals, thus leading to suboptimal results, while decline in participation rate seems to indicate key limitations. Nevertheless, elucidating scientific formulation method of personalized exercise prescription based on multidimensional assessment may demonstrate important theoretical significance, which furthermore involves establishing systematic efficacy evaluation framework with practical significance that may indicate relevant applications, and given these considerations, this study may aim to explore program design of personalized prescription. Additionally, implementation mechanism can advance practice of application, thereby promoting physical health through optimization mechanism.

2. Theoretical Foundations and Core Elements of Personalized Exercise Prescriptions

2.1 Physiological and Psychological Underpinnings of Personalized Exercise Prescriptions

Formulation of personalized exercise prescription indicates that powerful physiological framework and important psychological framework can fundamentally establish foundation, and moreover, physiological perspective may indicate that principle of adaptation specificity seems to be relevant, because results of exercise training may depend on nature of applied stimulus and intensity[2]. Furthermore, individual differences in genetic endowment may indicate that differential responses of physiological functions to exercise load play key role, which however, biomarkers such as maximal oxygen uptake may constitute core reference point for calibrating training parameters. Nevertheless, psychological theories may provide critical support for prescription design by addressing motivational driving factors, with given framework of these theories having prescription transcend mere physiological stimulation and function as channel connecting physical activity with behavioral adherence.

2.2 Analysis of Core Components in Personalized Exercise Prescriptions

prescription can indicate a structured intervention paradigm, with its fundamental components often being elaborated through

personalized application of important FITT-VP principles: frequency, intensity, time, type, volume and progression[3], additionally, essence of personalization may lie in dynamically adjusting these elements based on initial assessment results according to explicit individual goals, whether weight loss, muscle hypertrophy, blood pressure regulation, or mental health improvement. However, selection of exercise modalities must consider personal interests, functional limitations and alignment with goals, thus leading to intensity parameters that can be determined through functional capacity testing. Given that comprehensive prescription provides critical value, prescription also includes clearly defined progression strategies, risk mitigation considerations, and basic guidance on nutrition and recovery.

2.3 Systematic Overview of Individual Differences Affecting Prescription Design

Design of effective personalized exercise prescriptions may require practitioners to systematically consider and integrate these multidimensional individual differences, which may constitute key input variables of comprehensive prescription design process[4], and these important determinants include demographic and health status factors such as age, gender and relevant medical history, current health status and medication use, which may establish important safety boundaries and contraindications. Physical function and fitness levels are determined through assessment of cardiorespiratory endurance, muscle strength and endurance, flexibility and body composition, with these findings directly providing information for calibration of exercise intensity, additionally, research results show that considerations of exercise volume and type remain relevant. Psychological and behavioral characteristics may include exercise motivation, self-efficacy and stages of behavior change, exercise preferences and psychological barriers, which may affect prescription adherence and feasibility, thereby influencing long-term adherence outcomes. However, social and environmental factors, including time availability, facility accessibility and social support and cultural background, may affect actual implementation of prescription[5], nevertheless, assessment and integration of determinants seems crucial for ensuring prescription is truly tailored, thus combining scientific rigor with practical applicability.

3. Formulation Processes and Methodologies for Personalized Exercise Prescriptions

3.1 Multidimensional Assessment for Individual Health Status and Exercise Capacity

Formulating personalized exercise prescription, its foundational steps may involve systematic assessment of individual's important health status and important exercise capacity, which transcends simple physical examination and integrates medical screening, health risk investigation, physical fitness testing and behavioral psychological assessment. Medical screening may be used to exclude contraindications and identify potential risks, while health investigation may explore personal medical history, lifestyle patterns and exercise goals, with core physical fitness tests—including cardiopulmonary endurance, muscular strength and endurance, flexibility and body composition—establishing functional baseline. Given that motivational driving factors, perceived barriers and psychological states appear crucial, assessment of these important psychological factors may provide important evidence for prescription formulation.

3.2 Hierarchical Goal Setting and Content Design of Personalized Prescriptions

Based on initial assessment, establish clearly defined, measurable, achievable, relevant and time-bound (SMART) personalized goals, which may serve as important foundational elements of prescription, around which element layered content design can be constructed. Furthermore, goal setting seems to be layered within short-term, medium-term and long-term ranges, with short-term goals focusing on habit formation, skill acquisition or preliminary physiological adaptation, while medium-term goals can target improvement of fitness indicators, and long-term goals may orient toward overall health outcomes and behavior consolidation.

3.3 Key Technical Methods and Tool Selection in Prescription Design

Scientific formulation of personalized exercise prescriptions requires these technical methods and important professional tools to reasonably provide basic assessment capabilities, and

additionally, standardized health questionnaires may indicate physical fitness testing instruments, which demonstrate relevant measurement capabilities, with cycle ergometers, dynamometers and important skinfold calipers indicating measurement accuracy. However, wearable devices may show practicality, thereby enabling heart rate monitors and accelerometers to provide data collection.

Design of prescription needs precise methodology, where key techniques can reasonably demonstrate that using important exercise physiology formulas to calculate exercise intensity may establish dosage parameters. However, heart rate reserve method and Rating of Perceived Exertion scale seem to indicate measurement methods, and furthermore, behavior change techniques may indicate goal setting and self-monitoring can demonstrate effectiveness, with motivational strategies additionally showing behavioral impact. Given these methodological considerations, selection of tools and methods seems to indicate that professionalism, usability and significant individual acceptability can substantially demonstrate key balancing factors, which furthermore may indicate that scientific principles can reasonably transform into operational personalized interventions.

3.4 Risk Management and Principles of Dynamic Adjustment in Prescription Formulation

Safety may constitute basic bottom line in exercise-based health promotion. Furthermore, development of prescription may indicate that principles of risk management must be embedded in rigorous risk stratification from beginning, which clearly identifies key activity contraindications, establishes important safety intensity thresholds, and educates these individuals to recognize important warning signals, while prescription seems not to be static. However, prescription may follow dynamic adjustment principle. Given that individual's execution indicators show variability, the principle may require regular reassessment of compliance, physiological response, subjective experience and goal progress, followed by iterative feedback and prescription modification, where adjustments may involve timely increase or decrease of exercise load, replacement of exercise mode or improvement of behavioral strategy.

4. Implementation of Personalized Exercise Prescriptions and the Efficacy Evaluation Framework

4.1 Construction of a Multidimensional Evaluation Indicator System Based on Prescription Objectives

Evaluation of personalized exercise prescription can indicate that establishing an important multidimensional indicator system seems necessary, because such framework must demonstrate consistency with its multi-level and personalized goals, thus being able to conduct comprehensive and objective evaluation of intervention results. However, this system may transcend limitations of conventional biomedical markers, moreover, integration of multiple dimensions appears important, covering parameters of process and outcome. Therefore, this construction may include objective and subjective indicators, with parameters of physiology and psychological behavior also seeming relevant. Nevertheless, this indicator network should demonstrate association with prescription goals, where blood pressure reduction, improvement of depression symptoms, or increase of daily physical activity constitute core indicators, supportive indicators and process-oriented indicators. However, core indicators can directly reflect health outcomes, including clinical biomarkers such as body composition, glucose and lipid profile, or health-related quality of life scores, moreover, supportive indicators may capture improvement of fitness parameters such as cardiopulmonary capacity and muscle strength, while process-oriented indicators cover exercise adherence, self-efficacy and behavioral participation, thereby elucidating underlying mechanisms of outcome changes.

However, development of such an important multidimensional system may indicate that integration of mixed-method research seems to be necessary, because this approach may combine quantitative measurement with qualitative assessment. Moreover, assessment of cardiovascular health promotion may require monitoring blood pressure and also monitoring variability of heart rate, while perceived control of health seems to be relevant. Nevertheless, changes in exercise confidence may show importance, thus requiring indicator system to

have hierarchical structure and weighted priorities. Furthermore, populations and health goals may require differentiated focus, which considers cases of metabolic syndrome, with body composition and blood glucose indicators having greater weight relative to flexibility indicators. However, multidimensional indicator system may serve as measurement standard for outcome determination.

4.2 Process Evaluation of Short-Term Adherence, Safety, and Physiophysiological-Psychological Indicators

Process evaluation may show critical importance in initial and mid-term implementation stages, as it focuses on quality of execution and safety monitoring, while providing evidence for timely adjustments. Core of short-term evaluation seems to manifest as compliance and safety, additionally, compliance evaluation can include objective quantitative indicators—attendance rate, exercise duration and intensity, obtainable through exercise logs or wearable devices—as well as subjective determinants that may affect compliance, such as consistency with personal interests, time constraints and social support. Additionally, these determining factors are usually obtained through brief regular questionnaires or interviews, however, safety evaluation may constitute a threshold, thereby requiring monitoring of exercise-related adverse events. Given that musculoskeletal injuries and cardiovascular abnormalities represent significant risks, subjective fatigue—such as Rating of Perceived Exertion, RPE—and regular reassessment of risk factor dynamics appear crucial. Furthermore, in this sense, process evaluation can perform a function similar to aircraft dashboard, which ensures that these intervention measures may remain on safe track.

However, tracking short-term physiological and psychological indicators may have critical importance for evaluating early prescription effects and enhancing participants' motivation. From physiological perspective, within 4 to 12 weeks after intervention begins, those markers that rapidly respond to exercise stimulation—such as resting heart rate, blood pressure, sleep quality, emotional state, and perceivable changes in waist circumference or muscle strength—can demonstrate significant importance, and therefore these markers should be monitored. However from psychological perspective, attention may need to focus on short-term fluctuations in exercise self-efficacy, considering significant behavioral reinforcement value, while feelings of pleasure and symptoms of anxiety or depression can indicate importance. Moreover, these early positive signals, even if not clinically significant, may possess substantial behavioral reinforcement value, which may enhance individual's confidence and intrinsic motivation. Although these psychological mechanisms have complexity, they can lay foundation for long-term persistence, with process evaluation constituting dynamic assessment process.

4.3 Mid- to Long-Term Health Benefits and Behavioral Change Outcome Evaluation

Medium and long-term evaluation may indicate that persistent outcome changes induced by these personalized exercise prescriptions can be assessed at important time intervals of 3 months, 6 months, 1 year or longer, while focus of evaluation may indicate transition from execution process to important health benefits and behavior internalization. However, at level of health benefits, evaluation may emphasize clinically significant improvements and reduction of disease risks, which includes changes in core biomedical indicators, such as reaching target glycated hemoglobin levels, optimizing lipid profiles, comprehensive improvement of physical fitness, and related downstream outcomes, including reduced healthcare utilization and reduced sick leave days, thereby serving as indirect health economic indicators. Given context of chronic disease management, long-term evaluation may show particular attention to disease control and complication prevention.

Therefore, deeper-level outcome evaluation may involve assessment of substantive and sustained behavioral changes, although there exist issues of completing exercise programs, where this kind of evaluation may examine whether exercise has been integrated into way of life, identity recognition and self-regulation system. Assessment methods may include standardized behavioral stage tools (for example, transtheoretical model), assessment of autonomous exercise maintenance in absence of strong external supervision, and resilience of exercise behavior when coping with life event disruptions.

4.4 Establishment of a Feedback-Driven Closed-Loop Mechanism for Prescription Optimization

where utility of efficacy evaluation can exceed binary judgment of 'effective' or 'ineffective', while additionally, core value may lie in transforming these key evaluation results into actionable insights to substantially optimize future interventions, which seems achievable through 'assessment-feedback-optimization' closed-loop management system, with results of evaluation being communicated to participants in personalized manner, thereby highlighting progress and achievements through visualization of data trends or metrics of goal attainment to achieve positive reinforcement.

However, important prescriptions can enter stage of dynamic optimization guided by insights that may come from feedback, thus requiring this iterative process to set new progressive goals, and additionally, process may update prescription content when goals are achieved, while furthermore, analysis may examine mismatches in exercise parameters, which in cases of slow progress, can address execution challenges, with adjustments being made when safety concerns arise, thereby prompting framework to revisit strategies for risk mitigation. Given these considerations, this closed-loop framework can transform prescriptions from 'static document' into 'living system', which may evolve with individual's progress, and however, evolution may respond to changing life circumstances, thus ensuring long-term applicability through this mechanism.

5. Conclusion

This study indicates that development of personalized exercise prescriptions may need to be based on foundation of multi-dimensional assessment, integrating important physiology, psychology and related behavioral sciences to achieve precise design and dynamic adjustment. Additionally, efficacy assessment may indicate that multi-dimensional indicator system covers process and outcome measurements, which may indicate that short-term measurement and long-term measurement seem to be related. Given existence of closed-loop management mechanism, safety and effectiveness of prescriptions may be guaranteed, while sustainability can transform short-term behavioral changes into health benefits. Future research may advance technology integration and process standardization.

References

[1]Pu Zenglin, Su Jintao. Research on personalized physical fitness promotion pathways for college students from the perspective of school sports health promotion: A case study of Huaihua University. Modern Education, 2020, 7(51): 176–179.

[2]Xia Yiming. Application of smart sports in promoting physical fitness of adolescents. Sporting Goods and Technology, 2024, (22): 169–171.

[3]Feng Ning, Tan Ling. Logical evolution and response strategies for promoting adolescent exercise health in China under digitalization. Journal of Shenyang Sport University, 1–8 [2026-01-26].

[4]You Guiying, Lü Lujie, Jiang Zhirun, et al. Construction and guarantee mechanism of community “exercise for health” model from the perspective of sport-health integration. Bulletin of Sports Science and Technology Literature, 2025, 33(03): 104–108.

[5]Jiang Hong, Zhang Yanxiu, Wang Jun, et al. Exercise health management: Innovative strategies for promoting cardiovascular health. Health Examination and Management, 2024, 5(04): 371–375+420.

