# Clinical Case-Oriented Virtual Simulation Experiment Report on the Urinary System

**Xuxu Hong**
*School of Sociology, China University of Political Science and Law, Beijing, China*

**Abstract: With the ongoing digital transformation of modern medical education, virtual simulation experiments have emerged as a critical pedagogical tool for enhancing clinical reasoning and practical competencies among medical and health professions students. This report provides a comprehensive analysis of the "Clinical Case-Oriented Virtual Simulation Experiment on the Urinary System," accessed through the National Virtual Simulation Experiment Teaching Project Sharing Platform (ilab-x.com). The experiment utilized high-fidelity 3D modeling and interactive case-based reasoning to simulate the complete diagnostic and pathological analysis workflow for common urinary system disorders. Key activities included medical history interpretation, selection and interpretation of laboratory investigations, three-dimensional anatomical identification, and exploration of disease mechanisms. The experiment yielded a comprehensive score of 95 out of 100, indicating a strong foundational understanding of urinary system structure, function, and the pathophysiology of conditions such as acute glomerulonephritis. However, the detailed feedback also identified specific areas for improvement, including diagnostic precision, mastery of fine anatomical details, and the integration of pathological theory with clinical presentation. By effectively bridging theoretical knowledge with clinical application, the experiment strengthened critical thinking and practical skills, establishing a solid foundation for future clinical training. This report expands upon the experimental process by incorporating a detailed review of the relevant research background, theoretical frameworks underpinning simulation-based education, and a deeper discussion of the educational implications and future directions for this technology.**

**Keywords: Virtual Simulation Experiment; Urinary System; Clinical Case; Medical Education; Experiential Learning; Experiment Report**

## 1. Introduction: Research Background and Theoretical Foundations

### 1.1 The Evolving Landscape of Medical Education

The education of healthcare professionals is undergoing a profound transformation, driven by the exponential growth of medical knowledge, increasing emphasis on patient safety, and the integration of digital technologies. Traditional medical curricula have long relied on a combination of didactic lectures, textbook learning, and hands-on experiences in laboratories and clinical settings. While foundational, this model faces significant challenges in preparing students for the complexities of modern clinical practice. A critical gap often exists between theoretical knowledge acquisition and its practical application in real-world, time-sensitive, and high-stakes patient care scenarios [1].

Specifically, within the domain of the urinary system—a field encompassing intricate anatomy, dynamic physiology, and a wide spectrum of diseases—traditional teaching methods encounter notable limitations. Cadaveric dissection, while invaluable, offers a static representation of anatomy and is constrained by resource availability and ethical considerations. Laboratory sessions involving urinalysis or microscopy provide essential technical skills but often lack integration with a broader clinical context. Furthermore, exposure to patients with specific urinary system pathologies during clinical rotations is unpredictable and cannot be standardized to ensure every student encounters a representative range of conditions [2]. This fragmented approach can hinder the development of integrated clinical reasoning, where students must seamlessly synthesize knowledge from anatomy, physiology, pathology, pharmacology, and diagnostics to formulate a coherent diagnostic and management plan.

### 1.2 The Emergence and Rationale of Virtual Simulation

In response to these pedagogical challenges, virtual simulation has emerged as a powerful and complementary educational strategy. As documented by Li, Wang, and Zhang [3], Virtual simulation refers to the recreation of a real-world clinical scenario on a computer platform, allowing learners to engage in interactive tasks, make decisions, and observe the consequences in a controlled, safe, and reproducible environment [4]. Its application in medical education is underpinned by several key theoretical frameworks:

(1) Experiential learning theory [5]: Kolb's experiential learning cycle posits that learning is most effective when it involves a concrete experience, reflective observation, abstract conceptualization, and active experimentation. Virtual simulation provides a platform for "concrete experience" by immersing the learner in a realistic clinical case. The process of analyzing results and receiving system feedback facilitates "reflective observation" and "abstract conceptualization." The opportunity to repeat the experiment or apply learned concepts in subsequent steps allows for "active experimentation," thereby completing the learning cycle and solidifying knowledge [5].

(2) Situated learning theory [6]: This theory emphasizes that learning is not merely the acquisition of abstract knowledge but is inherently situated within a specific social and physical context. Virtual simulation creates a "legitimate peripheral environment" where students can engage in authentic clinical activities—such as interpreting a patient's history and ordering diagnostic tests—within a safe approximation of a real clinical setting. This contextualization helps learners understand the application of knowledge, not just the knowledge itself, and fosters the development of professional identity [5].

(3) Cognitive load theory (sweller) [7]: Managing cognitive load is crucial for effective learning. Traditional clinical education can impose a high intrinsic cognitive load due to the simultaneous complexity of patient interaction, technical procedures, and decision-making. Virtual simulation allows for a "scaffolded" approach, where complex tasks can be broken down into manageable components. By providing immediate feedback and allowing for repetition, it reduces extraneous cognitive load, freeing up mental resources for learners to focus on understanding underlying mechanisms and developing problem-solving schemas [7, 8].

The integration of these theoretical principles makes virtual simulation particularly well-suited for teaching disciplines that demand high levels of integration and clinical reasoning, such as nephrology and urology. The urinary system, with its reliance on understanding structural relationships (gross and microscopic anatomy), dynamic functional processes (filtration, reabsorption, secretion), and pathophysiological cascades (inflammation, obstruction, malignancy), is an ideal candidate for simulation-based learning. Chen, Liu, and Zhao demonstrated through practical research that a case-based virtual simulation teaching system significantly enhances student engagement and learning outcomes in urinary system disease instruction [9]. Similarly, Wu, Wang, and Li emphasized that under the digital transformation of medical education, virtual simulation technology serves as a critical pathway for reforming traditional experimental teaching models [10].

### 1.3 The Present Study: Aims and Objectives

This report presents a detailed analysis of a virtual simulation experiment focused on a clinical case of urinary system disease. The primary aims of engaging with this experiment were:

(1) To apply and integrate foundational knowledge of urinary system anatomy, physiology, and pathology to a realistic clinical case.

(2) To practice and refine clinical diagnostic reasoning skills, including hypothesis generation, selection of appropriate diagnostic tests, and interpretation of results.

(3) To utilize a 3D interactive environment to reinforce spatial understanding of urinary tract anatomy and correlate it with pathological changes.

(4) To identify personal knowledge gaps and areas for improvement through the system's objective, real-time feedback mechanism.

(5) To evaluate the educational value of

virtual simulation as a tool for bridging the gap between pre-clinical theory and clinical application.

## 2. Experimental Platform and Methodology

### 2.1Platform and Technological Infrastructure

The experiment was conducted on the "ilab-x.com" National Virtual Simulation Experiment Teaching Project Sharing Platform (Project No. 2828). This platform is a nationally recognized repository designed to provide open access to high-quality simulation resources. As Sun, Zhou, and Wu reported, the construction and application of such medical virtual simulation experimental teaching platforms have demonstrated significant effectiveness in enhancing educational quality and student satisfaction [11]. The technical architecture of this specific experiment leveraged several advanced features:

(1) WebGL 3D rendering: This technology enabled the real-time display of interactive, rotatable, and zoomable three-dimensional anatomical models. This allowed for a nuanced exploration of the spatial relationships between the kidneys, ureters, urinary bladder, and associated vasculature, as well as detailed views of internal structures like the nephron and glomerulus.

(2) Case logic engine: The core of the simulation was driven by a logic engine that managed the branching narrative of the clinical case. Depending on the user's selections (e.g., choosing which tests to order), the engine would present relevant results and guide the user down an appropriate diagnostic pathway. This non-linear structure more accurately reflects the iterative nature of clinical reasoning.

(3) Interactive task interface: The interface was designed for high interactivity, requiring users to perform actions beyond simple multiple-choice questions. Tasks included dragging and dropping anatomical labels, manipulating a virtual microscope to grade hematuria, and clicking on specific areas of a histological image to mark pathological changes.

(4) Automated scoring and feedback system: A backend algorithm continuously evaluated user actions against a pre-defined gold standard. For each interactive step and theoretical question, the system provided immediate feedback—either positive reinforcement or a specific deduction with an indication of the error. This feature was crucial for self-directed learning and immediate error correction.

### 2.2 Experiment Structure and Procedural Flow

The experiment was structured around a single, unfolding clinical case, designed to simulate the patient journey from presentation to diagnosis and pathophysiological understanding. The procedural flow was as follows:

2.2.1 Stage 1: Clinical presentation and hypothesis generation (diagnostic reasoning)

The experiment began with a virtual patient encounter. The user was presented with a brief clinical history: a young adult presenting with a recent history of periorbital edema, tea-colored urine, and reported fatigue following a sore throat. The user's first task was to synthesize this information and select the most likely preliminary diagnoses from a list of options (e.g., acute glomerulonephritis, nephrotic syndrome, acute pyelonephritis, urinary tract infection). This stage tested the ability to generate a focused differential diagnosis based on pattern recognition and epidemiological probability.

2.2.2 Stage 2: diagnostic test selection and interpretation (procedural and analytical skills)

Following the initial hypothesis, the user was presented with a virtual laboratory order form containing a comprehensive list of diagnostic tests (e.g., urinalysis with microscopy, complete blood count, serum creatinine, blood urea nitrogen, complement levels (C3), anti-streptolysin O (ASO) titer, renal ultrasound). The task was to select the most appropriate and cost-effective tests to confirm or refute the leading hypothesis. Upon selection, the simulation provided the results. A key sub-task was the "Hematuria Grading" exercise, where the user viewed a virtual microscopic field of urine sediment and had to drag a counter to accurately quantify the number of red blood cells per high-power field, distinguishing between microscopic and gross hematuria.

2.2.3 Stage 3: Anatomical correlation and structural identification (spatial and morphological knowledge)

This module shifted focus from laboratory data to anatomy. The user was presented with a high-resolution 3D model of the urinary system. Five consecutive tasks required the user to drag labels to correctly identify key structures, including the renal cortex, renal medulla, renal pelvis, ureter, and the detailed components of the nephron (glomerulus, proximal convoluted tubule, loop of Henle). This section tested the ability to navigate three-dimensional space and recall the precise location and nomenclature of anatomical structures relevant to the clinical scenario.

2.2.4 Stage 4: Pathophysiology integration and disease mechanism elucidation (theoretical knowledge)

The final stage of the experiment focused on the "why" behind the clinical presentation. Using the confirmed diagnosis of acute post-streptococcal glomerulonephritis, the user was presented with a series of theoretical questions and interactive tasks designed to probe understanding of the underlying disease process. This included:

(1) Answering a multiple-choice question on the most common causative agent (Group A beta-hemolytic Streptococcus).

(2) Responding to a question on the physiological basis of the glomerular filtration membrane's selective permeability (mechanical vs. charge barrier).

(3) Correlating gross pathological descriptions ("large red kidney") with the clinical presentation.

(4) Interacting with a virtual histology slide of a glomerulus to identify and label key pathological features, such as capillary endothelial cell proliferation, neutrophil infiltration, and thickening of the glomerular basement membrane.

### 2.3 Evaluation and Scoring Criteria

The total score of 100 points was dynamically calculated based on performance across all stages. The scoring algorithm was designed to weight tasks according to their clinical significance. For example, an error in the initial diagnosis or a critical test selection might result in a larger deduction than a minor mislabeling in the anatomical section. The final score of 95 points was accompanied by a detailed breakdown of deductions, which served as the primary basis for the self-assessment and analysis in the following section.

## 3. Experimental Results and Analysis

The comprehensive evaluation resulted in a score of 95 points. The following section provides a detailed, point-by-point analysis of the system-identified deductions, contextualized within the theoretical frameworks discussed earlier.

### 3.1 Stage 1: Diagnostic Reasoning (Deduction: 2 Points)

A deduction was incurred during the initial differential diagnosis phase. The user correctly identified acute glomerulonephritis as the most likely diagnosis but failed to select a less probable but clinically relevant alternative, such as IgA nephropathy (Berger's disease). From the perspective of Cognitive Load Theory, this suggests that the initial presentation of symptoms (edema, hematuria, post-infectious history) created a strong cognitive anchor toward the most textbook answer. The error highlights the need to manage this "availability heuristic" and maintain a broader differential, even when a single diagnosis seems obvious. This stage underscores the importance of training learners to systematically consider all plausible diagnoses, a skill critical for avoiding diagnostic errors in practice.

### 3.2 Stage 2: Test Selection and Interpretation (Deduction: 1 Point)

A minor deduction was noted for the selection of a renal ultrasound early in the diagnostic workup. While not harmful, the simulation's gold-standard pathway prioritized serological tests (ASO titer, C3 complement) to confirm the suspected immune-mediated etiology. The ultrasound was considered a lower-yield initial test given the classic presentation. This error reflects a gap in understanding the strategic sequencing of tests based on pretest probability. The correct approach is to first order tests that directly address the leading hypothesis (post-streptococcal glomerulonephritis) before moving to broader anatomical imaging to rule out complications or alternative structural diagnoses.

Hematuria Grading (Deduction: 1 point): In the microscopic hematuria grading task, the user incorrectly placed the red blood cell count in the range of 10-20 per high-power field (HPF), while the correct value based on the case data was >20 HPF (indicating a significant glomerular bleed). This was a quantitative error. Experiential Learning Theory is relevant here; the user had the "concrete experience" of viewing the virtual slide but failed to accurately apply the standard clinical classification during the "reflective observation" and "active experimentation" phases of placing the counter. This highlights that visual recognition must be paired with precise quantitative application.

### 3.3 Stage 3: Anatomical Identification (Deduction: 1 Point across Multiple Tasks)

Over the five structure identification tasks, a single point was deducted cumulatively. The error occurred in distinguishing between the renal cortex and medulla on a cross-sectional 3D model. While the gross anatomical relationship was understood, the specific boundary was not identified with perfect accuracy. This aligns with the challenges of Situated Learning. In a traditional textbook, these structures are often presented in idealized, two-dimensional diagrams. The 3D simulation, while more realistic, increased the cognitive load required for spatial orientation, exposing a subtle but important weakness in three-dimensional anatomical mental mapping.

### 3.4 Stage 4: Pathophysiology and Disease Mechanisms (Deduction: 1 Point)

A single point was deducted across the multiple theoretical and interactive tasks in this final stage. The error occurred in the interactive labeling of the glomerular histology slide. The user correctly identified capillary endothelial cell proliferation but failed to accurately label the areas of neutrophil infiltration. This error is particularly significant as it relates directly to the core pathophysiological mechanism of acute post-streptococcal glomerulonephritis—an inflammatory reaction. The mistake indicates that while the overarching concept (inflammation) was understood, the specific cellular composition of the inflammatory infiltrate was not fully memorized. This represents a gap in the "abstract conceptualization" phase of learning, where detailed histopathological knowledge must be anchored to the broader functional consequence (reduced glomerular filtration rate).

### 3.5 Overall Performance Synthesis

The score of 95 points reflects a strong command of the core concepts. The deductions, totaling 5 points, were distributed across the four stages, revealing a pattern of minor errors in precision rather than major gaps in understanding. The analysis suggests that while the user successfully engaged with the high-level clinical reasoning (the "what"), areas for improvement lie in the finer details (the "how much" and "specifically which"). This precisely aligns with the pedagogical goal of virtual simulation: to move learners beyond surface-level knowledge toward mastery through the identification and correction of subtle, yet critical, errors.

## 4. Discussion and Educational Implications

### 4.1 Pedagogical Value and Theoretical Alignment

The results of this experiment provide strong empirical support for the integration of virtual simulation into the medical curriculum, validating its alignment with established learning theories. Wu, Wang, and Li articulated that in the context of digital transformation, the application of virtual simulation technology represents a fundamental shift in medical experimental teaching paradigms, enabling more personalized, interactive, and effective learning experiences [10].

(1) Bridging the 2D-3D gap (situated learning): The 3D anatomical modeling successfully contextualized knowledge that is often learned from flat diagrams. The deduction in this area, while minor, is instructive; it shows that the simulation created a realistic "situated" challenge that revealed a subtle weakness not apparent in traditional assessments. This is a powerful diagnostic tool for both the student and the educator.

(2) Enhancing clinical reasoning (experiential learning): The case-based, sequential format perfectly embodied Kolb's [4] cycle. The user moved from concrete experience (viewing the virtual patient) to reflective observation (analyzing test results), abstract conceptualization (linking findings to the pathophysiology of acute glomerulonephritis), and active experimentation (making diagnostic and testing choices). The iterative nature of the simulation, even within a single run, allowed for a mini-cycle of reflection and conceptualization. Liu and Zheng emphasized that well-designed virtual simulation systems specifically targeting clinical thinking training can effectively cultivate the diagnostic reasoning abilities essential for nephrology practice [12].

(3) Managing cognitive load: The scaffolded design, where complex tasks like "diagnose the disease" were broken down into smaller,

manageable steps (history, tests, anatomy, pathology), successfully managed cognitive load. The immediate feedback on the hematuria grading task, for instance, allowed for immediate correction and encoding of the correct quantitative standard, reducing the extraneous load that might have interfered with learning the underlying concept of glomerular bleeding.

(4) Platform effectiveness: Sun, Zhou, and Wu noted in their evaluation of medical virtual simulation platforms that user satisfaction and learning outcomes are significantly enhanced when platforms provide comprehensive, interactive, and clinically relevant content. The present experience corroborates these findings, as the platform's design directly contributed to the depth of learning achieved [11].

### 4.2 Identification of Key Learning Gaps and Remediation Strategies

The specific deductions served as a valuable "learning diagnostic." The following strategies are proposed for remediation:

(1) For diagnostic breadth: To address the initial differential diagnosis error, future study should focus on creating comparative tables for conditions like acute glomerulonephritis, IgA nephropathy, and nephrotic syndrome. Engaging with multiple simulation cases with overlapping presentations would further strengthen this skill.

(2) For anatomical precision: The 3D simulation revealed a need for more intensive spatial practice. This can be remediated by spending more time manipulating 3D models, using virtual dissection tools, and cross-referencing 3D views with cadaveric atlases to solidify the mental map of organ relationships.

(3) For pathophysiological detail: The error in histology labeling indicates a need to revisit the microscopic pathology of glomerulonephritis. Active learning strategies, such as drawing and labeling the key cellular components of the glomerulus in a state of inflammation, would help to solidify the connection between cell types and their functional roles in the disease process.

### 4.3 Limitations of the Virtual Simulation Approach

Despite its numerous strengths, this virtual simulation, like all educational tools, has inherent limitations that should be acknowledged:

(1) Lack of physical examination: The simulation omitted the crucial skill of physical examination (e.g., assessing for edema, palpating for kidney tenderness). This sensory component of diagnosis is a key limitation of screen-based simulations.

(2) Simplified patient interaction: The virtual patient interaction was limited to text-based history. It did not replicate the nuances of doctor-patient communication, including empathy, non-verbal cues, and the challenge of gathering information from a distressed patient.

(3) Deterministic logic: While advanced, the case logic engine is ultimately deterministic. It cannot replicate the full spectrum of unpredictability in a real patient's response to illness or the complex social and ethical factors that influence real-world clinical decision-making.

### 4.4 Future Directions and Recommendations

To further enhance the educational impact of such simulations, future developments could focus on:

(1) Increasing case complexity: Developing a library of cases with varying presentations (atypical, chronic, comorbidities) would allow learners to practice pattern recognition across a broader spectrum.

(2) Integrating with other modalities: The simulation could be combined with standardized patient encounters (in-person or via tele-simulation) to integrate physical exam and communication skills.

(3) Enhancing interactivity and feedback: Moving beyond multiple-choice and drag-and-drop to include free-text diagnostic justifications or virtual "consultations" with specialists would deepen the cognitive engagement. Feedback could also include links to specific textbook chapters, journal articles, or video explanations to create a seamless learning ecosystem. As Li et al. noted, the integration of intelligent feedback mechanisms and adaptive learning pathways represents a key trend in the future development of virtual simulation in medical education [3].

(4) Incorporating collaborative learning: A multi-player version where students could work in teams to manage the case would foster collaborative skills and expose learners to diverse perspectives in clinical reasoning.

## 5. Conclusion and Personal Reflections

This "Clinical Case-Oriented Virtual Simulation Experiment on the Urinary System" proved to be a highly effective educational tool that successfully integrated the core tenets of experiential, situated, and cognitively-aware learning theories. It transcended the limitations of traditional, passive learning methods by providing an immersive, interactive, and safe environment to practice complex clinical skills.

The achieved score of 95 points serves as a strong affirmation of my foundational knowledge in renal anatomy, physiology, and pathology. More importantly, the detailed, objective feedback—the "learning diagnostic"—provided a clear and actionable roadmap for future study. The deductions were not arbitrary penalties but precise indicators of where my cognitive schema required reinforcement: in the breadth of differential diagnosis, the strategic sequencing of diagnostic tests, the three-dimensional orientation of renal structures, and the specific histopathological correlates of inflammation.

This experience has profoundly underscored the critical importance of bridging theoretical knowledge with clinical application. Memorizing that acute glomerulonephritis is caused by an immune reaction is one thing; navigating the virtual steps of selecting the confirmatory serological tests, interpreting the results, and then correlating those findings with the cellular proliferation on a virtual histology slide is a transformative and deeply reinforcing learning process.

Virtual simulation is not a replacement for the irreplaceable experiences of clinical rotations—the human connection, the physical examination, the unpredictable nature of illness. However, it serves as an invaluable cognitive bridge, preparing the mind to be more agile, more precise, and more clinically ready when stepping into the real world of patient care. As medical education continues its digital transformation, the strategic integration of such high-fidelity, theory-driven simulations will be paramount in cultivating the next generation of competent, confident, and critically thinking clinicians.

## References

[1] Issenberg, S. B., McGaghie, W. C., Petrusa, E. R., Lee Gordon, D., & Scalese, R. J. (2005). Features and uses of high-fidelity medical simulations that lead to effective learning: a BEME systematic review. Medical Teacher, 27(1), 10-28.

[2] Lateef, F. (2010). Simulation-based learning: Just like the real thing. Journal of Emergencies, Trauma, and Shock, 3(4), 348-352.

[3] LI Hua, Wang Wei, Zhang Min. Current status and trend analysis of virtual simulation experiments in medical education. Chinese Journal of Medical Education, 2024, 44(3): 205-210.

[4] Cook, D. A., Hatala, R., Brydges, R., Zendejas, B., Szostek, J. H., Wang, A. T. & Hamstra, S. J. (2011). Technology-enhanced simulation for health professions education: a systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA, 306(9), 978-988.

[5] Kolb, D. A. (1984). Experiential learning: Experience as the source of learning and development. Prentice-Hall.

[6] Lave, J., & Wenger, E. (1991). Situated learning: Legitimate peripheral participation. Cambridge University Press.

[7] Sweller, J. (1988). Cognitive load during problem solving: Effects on learning. Cognitive Science, 12(2), 257-285.

[8] Van Merriënboer, J. J., & Sweller, J. (2005). Cognitive load theory and complex learning: Recent developments and future directions. Educational Psychology Review, 17(2), 147-177.

[9] Chen Xiaohong, Liu Yang, Zhao Jing. Practical research of case-based virtual simulation teaching system in urinary system disease teaching. China Higher Medical Education, 2023, 37(8): 56-58.

[10] Wu Xiaodong, Wang Sihan, Li Mengqi. Research on the reform path of medical experimental teaching under the digital background: exploration based on virtual simulation technology. China Medical Education Technology,2023,37(5):534-538.

[11] Sun Jianguo, Zhou Lina, Wu Zhiqiang. Construction and application effect evaluation of medical virtual simulation experiment teaching platform. Experimental Technology and Management, 2025, 42(1): 112-116.

[12] Liu Huimin, Zheng Haoran. Design and implementation of clinical thinking training virtual simulation system: taking nephrology as an example. Medical Education Research and Practice, 2024, 32(2): 289-293