

Bridging Gaps or Reinforcing Hierarchies? A Methodological Critique of Research on Multilingual Study Mentors for Migrant Youth

Zhiyu Ye

Shanghai Lixin University of Accounting and Finance, Shanghai, China

Abstract: This critical review examines Hedman and Fisher's study of Multilingual Study Mentors (MSMs) in Swedish preparatory classrooms using the framework of Critical Multilingual Language Awareness (CMLA). The review acknowledges the study's evidence that MSMs can enhance student engagement, disrupt language hierarchies, and support migrant students' identities. However, methodological weaknesses, such as a small sample size, absence of a control group, and lack of longitudinal data, limit its generalizability. While the study is a valuable initial contribution, future research must employ more rigorous and varied methodologies to truly assess the role of MSMs in fostering educational equity for linguistically diverse learners.

Keywords: Critical Multilingual Language Awareness (CMLA); Multilingual Study Mentors (MSMs); Newly Arrived Students; Epistemic Authority; Migrant Education; Linguistic Ethnography; Language Hierarchies; Sweden; Qualitative Methodology; Mixed-methods Research

1. Introduction

As globalization brings increasing diversity into classrooms, the ability to navigate multiple languages becomes essential for both teachers and students. While this diversity offers opportunities for more inclusive pedagogies, historically, schools have often reinforced rigid notions of language and identity [1]. Despite repeated attempts at reform, language-minority students still face barriers to success. Initiatives to promote language awareness, such as those described by García [2], offer some benefit, yet may inadequately address systemic inequalities. A critical question thus arises: are interventions in language education genuinely transformative,

or do they merely adjust existing hierarchies without addressing deeper issues of access and recognition? Here, Critical Multilingual Language Awareness (CMLA) urges educators to treat language as fluid and socially situated. Within this framework, Hedman and Fisher emphasize the potential for Multilingual Study Mentors (MSMs), especially those supporting migrant learners, to change classroom power dynamics, an innovation that, if brought into the mainstream, could challenge established roles and enable more collaborative learning.

2. Literature Review

Christina Hedman and Linda Fisher's 2022 project centers on critical multilingual language awareness within preparatory classes for newly arrived students at secondary schools in Sweden. The research was motivated by a desire to understand how multilingual study mentors, referred to as MSMs, influence educational outcomes for students who recently moved to Sweden. The project took place in a classroom with students between 13 and 15 years old. These students had only been at a Swedish school for three to six months. The group of ten participating students reflected a range of home countries, including the Middle East, Eastern Europe, Central America, as well as other regions, revealing the global diversity present in Swedish classrooms today.

The researchers set up an experimental course that stretched over two months. The course included ten lessons, and the materials were adapted from programs traditionally created for foreign language learners. This approach aimed to give students a fresh, meaningful educational experience and to explore ways in which MSMs might open up new possibilities for learning. The core research questions looked at how these multilingual mentors participated in student conversations, what kind of authority and personal engagement they brought to the process,

and how they contributed to co-learning. To answer these questions, the study recruited six MSMs, each with different mother tongues, and three teachers who taught core subjects.

The researchers used a rich and varied approach in collecting data. They relied on observing classroom talk, conducting interviews with both students and multilingual mentors, and reviewing materials designed with students at the center. The study utilized recorded audio and video to observe the nuances of classroom behavior things like students' facial expressions and gestures. These recordings allowed for in-depth analysis and let the researchers catch subtle interactions that might otherwise have gone unnoticed. A significant strength of the methodology lies in its "linguistic ethnography" approach. This means the study pays attention to both the small, everyday details of language as it is spoken and the larger social context shaping those conversations. It is a methodology that recognizes how important context is for understanding real classroom life. When it came time to analyze the data, the researchers chose a method known as abductive analysis. By applying this approach, they structured their examination around three main themes. These were epistemic authority and responsibility, having and sharing knowledge in the classroom, the personal involvement of mentors in learning, and how language hierarchies play out over time in multilingual settings [3,4].

The core of the study was a small group drawn from a unique setting. The classroom included ten recently arrived students who were all new to the Swedish school system. Their ages were controlled between thirteen and fifteen, and their home language backgrounds were diverse. The aim was to keep the group consistent in experience so that external factors, such as length of time in Sweden or varied teaching environments, would not cloud the results. By choosing such a specific group and carefully controlling the classroom environment, the researchers tried to focus on the contribution MSMs made to co-learning. The MSMs brought a variety of languages and cultural experiences to the room, and the comparison group in this study was the students themselves before and after the MSM intervention.

3. Key Concepts from the Literature Review

While building their approach, Hedman and Fisher introduce important ideas in their review

of past research. They pay particular attention to the idea of epistemic authority who gets to define knowledge and have their knowledge recognized as valid. In multilingual learning environments, this concept takes on extra importance because teachers, MSMs, and students all bring different types of authority to the classroom [5]. The authors also highlight "affect" which refers to the emotional dimension of learning and teaching. It is not enough to know facts; how one feels about language and learning often shapes success in the classroom. Additionally, the idea of the symbolic self or personal identity in a classroom context comes up frequently in their literature review. This concept explores how individuals' sense of self and group identity impact their engagement with language learning.

A significant finding from the article is that, even though multilingual education has been studied for years, research involving MSMs as active classroom participants remains rare. As Sweden's society grows ever more linguistically diverse, nearly ten million people now speak a first language other than Swedish. This points to a pressing need for schools to find new ways to meet the language needs of migrants and second-language learners. Hedman and Fisher underline that while government policy sometimes encourages teachers to use students' strongest language, actual time spent in this kind of mentoring is limited. MSMs are often deployed as a short-term or occasional solution, rather than being central figures in the classroom. The existing research the authors reference depicts MSMs as helpful bridges between the home culture and the new school system, but there is less evidence about the long-term impact of MSMs on student achievement and integration. Their review suggests that MSMs have a potential impact that goes beyond facilitating communication. MSMs can help students in social, cognitive, and academic ways. They are role models, cultural guides, and a possible resource for families unfamiliar with the local education system. Nevertheless, the paper does not systematically investigate the broader benefits MSMs might offer over the long term, especially outside the immediate context of language class.

One of the central concerns of the research was to look at what happens during classroom conversations and how MSMs shape those interactions. The study presents a few case

examples that show MSMs encouraging students to participate more, interact with teachers and classmates, and answer questions with greater confidence. This sort of scaffolding is critical for new arrivals, as it creates a supportive environment and gives students a foundation for acquiring skills in both Swedish and other languages [2]. Nevertheless, there are limitations to how deeply the article analyzes these conversations. The authors present only a few examples, and while the moments are illustrative, they do not fully demonstrate links between MSMs' interventions and the development of critical multilingual language awareness. The connection between classroom dialogue and lasting changes to students' critical thinking, metalinguistic understanding, or long-term confidence in multilingual spaces is not fully explored. Furthermore, another gap in the analysis is the lack of distinction between mentoring and coaching. Each process supports student learning, but in different ways: one focusing more on holistic guidance and relationship, the other on distinct skill or performance goals. Not separating these two approaches means that the unique value of MSMs as mentors rather than mere language tutors or coaches is not well defined.

The second part of the data analysis is devoted to the MSN's personal involvement. The study offers a few glimpses into how mentors use storytelling or informal interactions to build rapport and foster communicative bravery among students. One MSM, for example, amused students with stories about language mix-ups and cultural confusion. This approach creates emotional engagement and allows students to see errors not as failures but as steps along the learning path. Still, the study only looks at one group and one context [6]. There is not enough comparison to different ages, backgrounds, or personalities among students to draw strong conclusions. Previous research shows that factors like students' individual personalities, preferences, and experiences can shape how they respond to mentoring. Some students are shy, while others are eager to speak. Some may come from collectivist cultures where group interaction is normal, while others value individual achievement. None of these questions are addressed deeply in the article, limiting the insight available for practitioners who wish to apply these findings in diverse classrooms.

The third main theme considers language

hierarchies in class, essentially, who gets to be recognized as "knowing," or whose language counts as proper school-talk [6,7]. The researchers examine how MSMs challenge the typical power structure where teachers hold most of the knowledge authority and students predominantly accept and repeat. MSMs, through their own experiences and backgrounds, offer different forms of expertise, including personal narratives and knowledge about bicultural life. This allows students to see themselves as competent language users even if Swedish is not their strongest language. The authors make use of Goffman's work to illustrate how personal history and accumulated knowledge contribute to epistemic authority in the classroom. MSMs can relate to students' journeys as migrants, give context for their experiences, and legitimize multiple languages as valid. Nevertheless, the article could benefit from more first-hand accounts or fine-grained examples to truly illustrate how these classroom negotiations happen.

4. Strengths and Shortcomings in Methodology

While the study's design shows real thoughtfulness, several weaknesses remain. First, the lack of a formal control group, that is, students learning without MSM involvement, makes it difficult to say with certainty that observed gains are a direct result of MSMs, rather than general adaptation to school life [1,3]. Larger studies with clear comparison groups provide more convincing evidence about the effectiveness of interventions and help to isolate the specific impact MSMs might have. Second, the small sample size, only ten students in one classroom, makes it hard to generalize the findings. Most of the evidence is qualitative, meaning it is based on detailed observations of students rather than broad trends measured statistically. Qualitative work is invaluable for discovering new patterns, and it can capture feelings and subtleties that standardized tests miss. However, without a larger sample or quantitative data to back up interpretations, conclusions risk being anecdotal and overly context dependent. A notable methodological strength is the use of audio and video recordings. These allow for careful, repeated analysis of exchanges, nonverbal communication, and interaction style. They also facilitate rechecking interpretations and reducing the bias from

human memory or selective attention. The approach of having one author lead the initial analysis and both authors confirm later findings helps provide a check on personal bias.

5. The Need for Mixed-Methods and Long-Term Data

One pressing recommendation from a critical reading of this article is the need to incorporate quantitative research into future studies. Tests of student performance, surveys of language confidence, or statistical analysis of homework results can complement the sort of deep, narrative insights that come from qualitative interviews and observation. Mixed-methods research, where both kinds of data are gathered and analyzed, would provide a fuller picture. For instance, researchers could directly compare performance on language tasks before and after MSM involvement and then combine those results with detailed interviews or classroom stories. Another major limitation is the article's focus on immediate, classroom-based results. Today, many educational research projects stress the importance of long-term tracking. Does MSM involvement make it more likely that new arrivals will pass future examinations, stay in school longer, or feel included outside the classroom? Does it help them build friendships, engage in extracurricular clubs, or feel they have a place within wider Swedish society? The study does not investigate these important topics. If researchers could return several months or even years after the end of an MSM-supported course, they might find more robust evidence of broader impacts.

6. Analysis of the Authors' Discussion and Recommendations

The authors, in their discussion section, pull together a range of findings and highlight points of agreement with previous literature. Their review outlines that MSMs contribute to both better co-learning and a stronger sense of critical awareness of language in schools where migration and multilingualism are facts of life. However, the discussion is mostly inward-looking, referencing the findings of this study and rarely drawing broader connections with other research in multilingual contexts. More comparisons with international research would show whether MSMs' role in Sweden reflects a shared global opportunity, or if there are unique Swedish challenges and successes. In

their conclusions, Hedman and Fisher make the case that mentoring in language learning is potentially valuable outside of Sweden too. A major strength of their argument is suggesting that there are additional contributors to language learning beyond certified teachers or professional language mentors. Classroom aides, bilingual classmates, community volunteers, and even parents might fulfill roles like MSMs. This is especially important in resource-constrained areas where hiring more professionals is not always possible. However, the article would make a stronger case if it included more empirical examples and cited additional research on the contributions of other community members in language-learning support.

7. Critical Reflection and Suggestions for Future Research

Despite its clear strengths, Hedman and Fisher's article stops short of providing a fully convincing argument for the central role of Multilingual Study Mentors (MSMs) in the education of migrant students. The most significant limitations stem from restricted generalizability, a lack of depth in analysis, and the inability to monitor long-term or out-of-classroom impacts. As classrooms worldwide become more linguistically and culturally diverse, the process of linguistic integration is increasingly recognized as a complex, multi-dimensional challenge that cannot be addressed with one-size-fits-all approaches [5]. For both practitioners and researchers, this underscores the pressing need for larger, more comprehensive studies that move beyond small-scale, contextual snapshots. Future research in this area should strive to balance the richness of qualitative narratives with the broader reliability of quantitative data. Mixed-methods approaches could help capture both the nuanced personal experiences of migrant students and the measurable outcomes of MSM involvement. Moreover, comparative studies spanning different countries, age groups, and types of schools would provide a more nuanced picture of where, how, and for whom MSMs are most effective. It is also crucial to examine a wide range of educational outcomes, including academic achievement, language development, social integration, and emotional wellbeing [4]. In addition, researchers should adopt a more granular and individualized perspective, recognizing that age, personality,

prior schooling experiences, family backgrounds, and the nature of migration, whether voluntary or forced, all shape students' needs and the kinds of mentoring or coaching that are most beneficial [1]. Too often, existing studies treat migrant students as a homogeneous group with uniform needs, overlooking the diversity within migrant populations. To move forward, research must embrace this complexity, employing broader evidence and more differentiated analysis to reflect the true realities of language learning and integration in today's schools.

8. Conclusion

Hedman and Fisher's study offers an important contribution to debates on multilingual education by highlighting the role of Multilingual Study Mentors (MSMs) in supporting newly arrived students. The research demonstrates how MSMs can bridge linguistic and cultural divides, fostering greater student participation and engagement both academically and socially. Importantly, the study emphasizes the need to move MSMs from the educational margins to a central place in language support strategies, showing that they can effectively negotiate authority and identity in diverse classrooms. However, the study's narrow focus on a small group within a single classroom for a short duration limits the generalizability of its findings. The lack of quantitative data and the absence of a control group further restrict the study's ability to draw conclusions about the broader and longer-term effects of MSMs. Although the qualitative approach provides valuable insights, it remains unclear whether MSM involvement leads to measurable academic improvements or how their contributions compare to other community support roles, such as bilingual aides or family members. A more precise distinction between mentoring and coaching would also add clarity to future research. Further studies should use mixed methods, include larger and more diverse samples, and adopt longitudinal designs to better assess the sustained impact of MSMs across different contexts and student outcomes. While Hedman and Fisher's work is an important starting point, it is not a final answer.

Their research underscores the need for more comprehensive and context-sensitive inquiry to guide effective policy and practice in multilingual and migrant education. Only such efforts will advance true educational equity.

References

- [1] Heath SB. Seeing our way into learning. *Cambridge Journal of Education*, 2000;30(1), 121–132.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/03057640050005816>
- [2] García O. (2017). Critical multilingual language awareness and teacher education. In J. Cenoz, D. Gorter, & S. May (Eds.), *Language Awareness and Multilingualism* (pp. 263–280). Cham: Springer.
https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-02240-6_30
- [3] Han S, Li Y, Haider SA. Impact of foreign language classroom anxiety on higher education students' academic success: Mediating role of emotional intelligence and moderating influence of classroom environment. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 2022;13, 945062.
<https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.945062>
- [4] Motulsky H. Common misconceptions about data analysis and statistics and how to avoid them. *British Journal of Pharmacology*, 2015;172, 2126–2132.
- [5] Alvesson M, Sköldbberg S. (2017). *Reflexive methodology: New vistas for qualitative research* (3rd ed.). London: Sage.
- [6] Goffman E. (1981). Footing. In E. Goffman (Ed.), *Forms of Talk* (pp. 124–159). Baltimore, MD: University of Pennsylvania Press.
- [7] Curtis MJ, Bond RA, Spina D, Ahluwalia A, Alexander SP, Giembycz MA, Gilchrist A, Hoyer D, Insel PA, Izzo AA, Lawrence AJ, MacEwan DJ, Moon LD, Wonnacott S, Weston AH, McGrath JC. Experimental design and analysis and their reporting: New guidance for publication in BJP. *British Journal of Pharmacology*, 2015;172(14), 3461–3471.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/bph.12856>